

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/663,474	SHIRRIFF ET AL.	
	Examiner Brian J. Gillis	Art Unit 2441	
All Participants:		Status of Application: <u>pending</u>	
(1) <u>Brian J. Gillis</u> .		(3) _____.	
(2) <u>Seema Mehta, Registration #56,235</u> .		(4) _____.	
Date of Interview: <u>26 August 2010</u>		Time: <u>PM</u>	
Type of Interview:			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No			
If Yes, provide a brief description: .			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: <i>112 2nd, and 101</i>			
Claims discussed: <i>1 and 18</i>			
Prior art documents discussed: <i>prior art of record</i>			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>Contacts applicant's representative regarding 112 2nd and 101 issues in claims 1 and 18. Applicant's representative approved changes to be made by an Examiner's Amendment.</i>			
Part III.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
<i>/Larry Donaghue/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2454</i>			
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			